

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/877,654 06/08/2001		Luis Orlando Puigcerver	NC065-US1/5487-123 9502		
7590 09/23/2002					
Marguerite E. Gerstner Tyco Electronics Corporation Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER		
			POKER, JENNIFER A		
Menlo Park, CA	n Drive, M/S R20/1B N 94025-1164		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/877,654		PUIGCERVER ET AL. M				
ļ.	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
		Jennifer A.	Poker	2832				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the	over shet with the	orrespondenc addre	\$S			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat re to reply within the set or extended period for reply viewly received by the Office later than three months aft and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication.)) days, a reply within the statut ututory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 June 2001</i> .						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final					
3)	Since this application is in condition	,		osecution as to the m	rents is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the a	application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	bjected to by the Exar	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed		proved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are req		ce action.					
, —	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.						
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Intern See the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		ıge			
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).			
	a) The translation of the foreign lan Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the contract of t							
Attachmer		-						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1				

Application/Control Number: 09/877,654

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-28 and 56-58, drawn to an electromagnetic device, and claims 29-50, drawn

to a protection member, classified in class 336 subclass 229.

II. Claim 51-55 drawn to a method of assembling and a method of fabricating an

electromagnetic device, classified in class 29, subclass 602.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used

to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by

another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the core may be

encapsulated in a resin in order to form a protection layer.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

Embodiment 1, referring to Figure 3A, 4A

Embodiment 2, referring to Figure 3B, 4B

Application/Control Number: 09/877,654

Art Unit: 2832

Embodiment 3, referring to Figure 5A

Embodiment 4, referring to Figure 5B

Embodiment 5, referring to Figure 6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution

on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of

an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds

one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a

rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/877,654

Art Unit: 2832

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A. Poker whose telephone number is 703-305-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, Mon.-Fri. (alternating Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3432 for regular communications and 703-746-8181 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 730-308-5115.

jap September 12, 2002

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY ÇENTER 2800